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#### Abstract

By introducing inner products on groups, we generalize a famous theorem of Gyula Maksa and Peter Volkmann on additive functions of a group to an inner product space.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, by introducing inner products on groups, we shall generalize the following famous theorem of Maksa and Volkmann [7].

Theorem 1.1. For functions $f: G \rightarrow E$ from a group $G$ to a real or complex inner product space $E$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x y)\| \geq\|f(x)+f(y)\| \quad(x, y \in G) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x y)=f(x)+f(y) \quad(x, y \in G) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.2. For the origins of this theorem and the long history of the alternative Cauchy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x+y)\|=\|f(x)+f(y)\| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Hosszú [5], Fischer and Muszély [3], Kurepa [6], Skof [11, 12], Schöpf [10], and Ger and Koclega [4].

## 2. SEmi-InNER PRODuCtS On GROUPS

The most useful seminorms on vector spaces are derived from semi-inner products [13]. Therefore, it seems convenient to introduce the following

Definition 2.1. For any group $X$, a function $P$ of $X^{2}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ will be called a semi-inner product on $X$ if
(a) $P(x, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$,
(b) $P(y, x)=\overline{P(x, y)}$ for all $x, y \in X$,
(c) $P(x+y, z)=P(x, z)+P(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

[^0]Remark 2.2. By using (b) and (c) and the additivity of complex conjugation, we can see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(x, y+z)=\overline{P(y+z, x)}=\overline{P(y, x)+P(z, x)} \\
&=\overline{P(y, x)}+\overline{P(z, x)}=P(x, y)+P(x, z)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Thus, the semi-inner product $P$ is in particular a biadditve function of $X^{2}$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

Therefore, as an immediate consequence of some basic facts on additive functions of one group to another [16, Sec. 2.1], we can at once state the following
Theorem 2.3. If $P$ is a semi-inner product on a group $X$, then
(1) $P(0, x)=0$ and $P(x, 0)=0$ for all $x \in X$,
(2) $P(-x, y)=-P(x, y)$ and $P(x,-y)=-P(x, y)$ for all $x \in X$,
(3) $P(k x, y)=k P(x, y)$ and $P(x, k y)=k P(x, y)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in X$.

Remark 2.4. Note that the first and second coordinate functions $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ also have the corresponding bilinearity properties.

Moreover, because of properties (a) and (b), we have
(1) $P_{1}(x, x)=P(x, x) \geq 0$ and $P_{2}(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in X$,
(2) $\quad P_{1}(y, x)=P_{1}(x, y)$ and $P_{2}(y, x)=-P_{2}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Thus, in particular $P_{1}$ is also a semi-inner product on $X$. However, because of its skew-symmetry, $P_{2}$ cannot be a semi-inner product on $X$ whenever it is not identically zero.

The importance of semi-inner products lies mainly in the following
Theorem 2.5. If $P$ is a semi-inner product on a group $X$ and

$$
p(x)=\sqrt{P(x, x)}
$$

for all $x \in X$, then
(1) $p(0)=0$,
(2) $p(-x)=p(x)$ for all $x \in X$,
(3) $p(k x)=|k| p(x)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in X$,
(4) $p(x+y)^{2}=p(x)^{2}+p(y)^{2}+2 P_{1}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. To check (4), note that by the corresponding definitions and the biadditivity of $P$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x+y)^{2}=P(x+y, x+y)=P(x, x+y)+P(y, x+y) \\
& =P(x, x)+P(x, y)+P(y, x)+P(y, y)=p(x)^{2}+P(x, y)+\overline{P(x, y)}+p(y)^{2} \\
& =p(x)^{2}+2 P(x, y)_{1}+p(y)^{2}=p(x)^{2}+2 P_{1}(x, y)+p(y)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, the required equality is true.

Now, by using Theorems 2.5 and 2.3 , we can easily establish the following two useful corollaries.

Corollary 2.6. If $p$ is as in Theorem 2.5, then for any $x, y \in X$ the following assertions are equivalent :
(1) $p(x+y) \leq p(x)+p(y)$,
(2) $\quad P_{1}(x, y) \leq p(x) p(y)$.

Proof. To prove that (1) implies (2), note that if (1) holds, then by Theorem 2.5 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 P_{1}(x, y)=p(x+y)^{2}- & p(x)^{2}-p(y)^{2} \\
& \leq(p(x)+p(y))^{2}-p(x)^{2}-p(y)^{2}=2 p(x) p(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (3) also holds.
Remark 2.7. Unfortunately, now even the weakened Schwarz inequality (2) cannot be proved. Therefore, the function $p$ considered in Theorem 2.5 need not be subadditive, and thus a seminorm.
Corollary 2.8. If $p$ is as in Theorem 2.5, then for any $x, y \in X$ we have
(1) $4 P_{1}(x, y)=p(x+y)^{2}-p(x-y)^{2}$,
(2) $p(x+y)^{2}+p(x-y)^{2}=2 p(x)^{2}+2 p(y)^{2}$.

Proof. To check this, note that by Theorems 2.5 and 2.3, we have

$$
p(x+y)^{2}=p(x)^{2}+p(y)^{2}+2 P_{1}(x, y)
$$

and

$$
p(x-y)^{2}=p(x)^{2}+p(-y)^{2}+2 P_{1}(x,-y)=p(x)^{2}+p(y)^{2}-2 P_{1}(x, y) .
$$

Remark 2.9. Unfortunately, now a similar polar formula for $P_{2}(x, y)$ cannot be proved. Therefore, $P$ can be recovered from $p$ only in the real-valued case.

Assertion (4) of Theorem 2.5 can be extended to certain families of elements of $X$. However, in the sequel, we shall only need the following very particular result.

Theorem 2.10. If $p$ is as in Theorem 2.5, then for any $x, y, z \in X$, we have $p(x+y+z)^{2}=p(x)^{2}+p(y)^{2}+p(z)^{2}+2 P_{1}(x, y)+2 P_{1}(x, z)+2 P_{1}(y, z)$.
Proof. By using Theorem 2.5, we can easily see that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
p(x+y+z)^{2}=p((x+y)+z)^{2}=p(x+y)^{2}+p(z)^{2}+2 P_{1}(x+y, z) \\
=p(x)^{2}+p(y)^{2}+2 P_{1}(x, y)+p(z)^{2}+2 P_{1}(x, z)+2 P_{1}(y, z)
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, the required equality is also true.
Now, by using the Theorems 2.5 and 2.9 , we can also easily prove the following
Corollary 2.11. If $p$ is as in Theorem 2.5, then for any $x, y, z \in X$, we have
(1) $p(x)^{2}+p(y)^{2}+p(z)^{2}+p(x+y+z)^{2}=p(x+y)^{2}+p(x+z)^{2}+p(y+z)^{2}$,
(2) $p(x+y+z)^{2}=P_{1}(x+y+z, x)+P_{1}(x+y+z, y)+P_{1}(x+y+z, z)$.

Proof. To prove (2), note that by Theorem 2.9 we have

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}(x+y+z, x)+P_{1}(x+y+z, y)+P_{1}(x+y+z, z) \\
\quad=P_{1}(x, x)+P_{1}(y, x)+P_{1}(z, x)+P_{1}(x, y)+P_{1}(y, y)+P_{1}(z, y) \\
\quad+P_{1}(x, z)+P_{1}(y, z)+P_{1}(z, z)
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
\quad=p(x)^{2}+P_{1}(x, y)+P_{1}(x, z)+P_{1}(x, y)+p(y)^{2}+P_{1}(y, z) \\
\quad+P_{1}(x, z)+P_{1}(y, z)+p(z)^{2}
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& =p(x)^{2}+p(y)^{2}+p(z)^{2}+2 P_{1}(x, y)+2 P_{1}(x, z)+2 P_{1}(y, z) \\
& =p(x+y+z)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.12. By Stetkaer [16, p. 248], the above parallelepiped law plays the same role in characterizations of inner product spaces as the parallelogram identity established in Corollary 2.8.

## 3. Two characterizations of additive functions

Definition 3.1. A semi-inner product $P$ on a group $X$ will be called an inner product if $P(x, x)=0$ implies $x=0$ for all $x \in X$.
Remark 3.2. Thus, the semi-inner product $P$ is an inner product if and only if, under the notation of Theorem 2.5, $p(x)=0$ implies $x=0$ for all $x \in X$.

Now, we are ready to prove the following straightforward generalization of Theorem 1.1 of Maksa and Volkmann.

Theorem 3.3. If $f$ is a function of one group $X$ to another $Y, Q$ is an inner product on $Y$ and

$$
q(y)=\sqrt{Q(y, y)}
$$

for all $y \in Y$, then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $f$ is additive,
(2) $q(f(x)+f(y)) \leq q(f(x+y))$ for all $x, y \in X$,
(3) $f$ is odd and

$$
2 Q_{1}(f(x), f(y)) \leq q(f(x+y))^{2}-q(f(x))^{2}-q(f(y))^{2}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
Proof. Since, (1) implies that

$$
f(x)+f(y)=f(x+y), \quad \text { and thus } \quad q(f(x)+f(y))=q(f(x+y))
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, we need only show that (2) implies (3) implies (1).
From (2), by using Theorem 2.5, we can see that

$$
2 q(f(0))=q(2 f(0)) \leq q(f(0))
$$

and thus $q(f(0)) \leq 0$. Therefore, $q(f(0))=0$, and thus $f(0)=0$.
Now, from (2), we can also see that

$$
q(f(x)+f(-x)) \leq q(f(0))=q(0)=0
$$

and thus $f(x)+f(-x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore, $f$ is odd.
Moreover, from (2), by using Theorem 2.5, we can see that

$$
q(f(x))^{2}+p(f(y))^{2}+2 Q_{1}(f(x), f(y))=q(f(x)+f(y))^{2} \leq q(f(x+y))^{2}
$$

and thus

$$
2 Q_{1}(f(x), f(y)) \leq q(f(x+y))^{2}-q(f(x))^{2}-q(f(y))^{2}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, (2) implies (3).
On the other hand, if (3) holds, then by using Theorem $2.10,2.5$ and 2.3 we can see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
q(f(x)+f(y)-f(x+y))^{2}-q(f(x))^{2}-q(f(y))^{2}-q(f(x+y))^{2} \\
=2 Q_{1}(f(x), f(y))+2 Q_{1}(f(x),-f(x+y))+2 Q_{1}(f(y),-f(x+y)) \\
=2 Q_{1}(f(x), f(y))+2 Q_{1}(f(-x), f(x+y))+2 Q_{1}(f(x+y), f(-y)) \\
\leq q(f(x+y))^{2}-q(f(x))^{2}-q(f(y))^{2}+ \\
q(f(y))^{2}-q(f(-x))^{2}-q(f(x+y))^{2}+q(f(x))^{2}-q(f(x+y))^{2}-q(f(-y))^{2} \\
=q(f(x+y))^{2}-q(f(x))^{2}-q(f(y))^{2}+ \\
q(f(y))^{2}-q(f(x))^{2}-q(f(x+y))^{2}+q(f(x))^{2}-q(f(x+y))^{2}-q(f(y))^{2} \\
=-q(f(x))^{2}-q(f(y))^{2}-q(f(x+y))^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and thus

$$
q(f(x)+f(y)-f(x+y))^{2} \leq 0
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Hence, we can already infer that

$$
f(x)+f(y)-f(x+y)=0
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, (1) also holds.
Remark 3.4. Note that the above proof does not requires particular tricks. Therefore, it is more simple than the one given by Maksa and Volkmann [7].

Application of Theorem 3.3 to the proof of the left-invariance of some particular generalized metrics will be given in a forthcoming technical report [15].
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